	Case 3:11-cv-00376-RCJ-RAM Document 6 Filed 07/27/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	
11	MAIGA HRALIMA,)
12	Plaintiff,) 3:11-cv-00376-RCJ-RAM
13	vs.) ORDER
14	NEVADA SUPREME COURT,)
15	Defendants.
16	
17	Maiga Hralima, a prisoner at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, submitted a
18	pro se Motion to Request Injunctive Relief (received May 26, 2011) (ECF No. 1-1). Plaintiff did not
19	submit the required filing fee or an application for leave to proceed in <i>forma pauperis</i> or a civil
20	rights complaint.
21	Based on various legal deficiencies, including plaintiff's failure to file a proper civil
22	complaint outlining the claims he purports to have against the Nevada Supreme Court, the Court
23	dismissed the action. (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff now moves the Court to reconsider its dismissal. (ECF
24	No. 5.) Plaintiff provides no legal authority allowing the Court to reconsider the dismissal. Rather,
25	plaintiff attempts to bolster his arguments as to irreparable harm arising from the Nevada Supreme
26	Court's apparent refusal to file his opening appellate brief. However, these arguments are

Case 3:11-cv-00376-RCJ-RAM Document 6 Filed 07/27/11 Page 2 of 2

insufficient and fail to address the other deficiencies present in the action as described in the Order of dismissal. Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or submitted an application to proceed in *forma pauperis*. Plaintiff has not submitted a complaint - the requisite initial pleading in any civil action. He has not demonstrated good cause for the Court to reconsider its dismissal of his action. The motion shall be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 5) is DENIED.

Dated this _25th day of July, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI